

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Photoemission studies and electronic structure of  $U_2T_2In$  (T = Ni, Rh, Pt) compounds

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2006 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 18 4355

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/18/17/021)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 28/05/2010 at 10:25

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 18 (2006) 4355-4370

# Photoemission studies and electronic structure of $U_2T_2In$ (T = Ni, Rh, Pt) compounds

## A Szytuła<sup>1,5</sup>, A Jezierski<sup>2</sup>, A Winiarski<sup>3</sup>, B Penc<sup>1</sup> and V H Tran<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> M Smoluchowski Institute of Physics, Jagiellonian University, Reymonta 4, 30-059 Kraków, Poland

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Molecular Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Smoluchowskiego 17, 60-179 Poznań, Poland

<sup>3</sup> A Chełkowski Institute of Physics, University of Silesia, Uniwersytecka 4, 40-007 Katowice, Poland

<sup>4</sup> W Trzebiatowski Institute of Low Temperature and Structure Research, Polish Academy of Sciences, 50-950 Wrocław, PO Box 937, Poland

E-mail: szytula@if.uj.edu.pl

Received 2 January 2006 Published 13 April 2006 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/18/4355

### Abstract

The electronic structure of the tetragonal  $U_2T_2In$  (T = Ni, Rh, Pt) compounds in the paramagnetic phase were studied by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). Both valence band and core level spectra were analysed. The experimental data are compared with the calculations of the density of states using the tight-binding linear muffin-tin orbital method (TB-LMTO) and fullpotential local-orbital full-relativistic method (FPLO). The calculated data reveal a dominant U 5f electron character for the states near the Fermi level  $E_{\rm F}$  with a small contribution from U 5d, Ni 3d, Rh 4d, Pt 5d and In 5p states. The XPS valence bands of these compounds are characterized by a sharp peak of the U 5f states near the Fermi level  $(E_{\rm F})$  and broad peaks of the Ni 3d, Rh 4d and Pt 5d states at about 2.6, 3.2 and 4.0 eV below  $E_{\rm F}$ , respectively. The small change in the position of the U 5f peak with respect to  $E_{\rm F}$  is -0.35 eV for T = Ni and -0.15 eV for T = Rh and Pt. A satellite between the Ni  $2p_{1/2}$  and Ni 2p<sub>3/2</sub> peaks is visible, suggesting that the Ni 3d band is not completely filled, and the existence of a small induced magnetic moment on the Ni atoms cannot be ruled out.

## **1. Introduction**

Uranium intermetallic compounds, especially those containing a transition d-electron metal (T) and a p-electron element (X), form an interesting class of materials. During the last decade

0953-8984/06/174355+16\$30.00 © 2006 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.



Figure 1. Crystal unit cell of  $U_2T_2In$  (T = Ni, Rh, Pt) compounds.

these intermetallics have attracted a particular interest because of their anomalous behaviour observed at low temperatures. Among these uranium compounds there is a new group of uranium ternary intermetallics having the common chemical formula  $U_2T_2X$ , for which the physical properties have widely been investigated both experimentally and theoretically [1–18]. The majority of these compounds crystallize in the tetragonal  $U_3Si_2$ -type structure (space group P4/mbm, Z = 2) [1, 2]. The crystal unit cell is presented in figure 1. The U atoms occupy the 4h positions, whereas the In and T atoms are located at the 2a and 4g positions, respectively. This structure is a layer structure that consists of two kinds of layers stacked along the *c*-axis with the interval of c/2. The uranium atoms occupy solely one basal plane, whereas T and In atoms share the other one (see figure 1).  $U_2Pt_2In$  and few others adopt a superstructure of the  $Zr_3Al_2$ -type ( $P4_2/mnm$ , Z = 4), that doubles the unit cell in the *c*-direction and shifts the atoms slightly from their symmetric positions. In contrast to compounds of the  $U_3Si_2$ -type, uranium atoms in  $U_2Pt_2In$  are placed in two inequivalent sites [13].

The experimental works [1-18] have indicated that the 2:2:1 intermetallics exhibit a large variety of electronic and magnetic properties, also including exotic phenomena such as spin-fluctuation (U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In [5, 11]), Kondo insulator (U<sub>2</sub>Ru<sub>2</sub>Sn [17]) and heavy-fermion (U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In [18]) phenomena. Some of the compounds order antiferromagnetically at low temperatures, often displaying a complex magnetic structure [7–9, 13]. It is assumed that these interesting properties are due to hybridization of the 5f electrons of the uranium atom with the electrons of the ligands [10].

The  $U_2T_2In$  compounds (T = Ni, Rh and Pt) investigated in this work exhibit diverse magnetic behaviours [5].

- Antiferromagnetic ordering is observed for T = Ni with the Néel temperature 14.3 K. Neutron diffraction measurements indicated that the uranium atoms carry magnetic moments of 0.58  $\mu_B$  [8], 0.85  $\mu_B$  [9] or 0.92  $\mu_B$  [13], respectively. All the values all are considerably reduced in comparison to the theoretical moment of the free U<sup>3+</sup> ion (3.27  $\mu_B$ ).
- The magnetic susceptibility  $\chi(T)$  of U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In obeys the Curie–Wiess law above 120 K. At low temperatures it exhibits a broad peak with a maximum at T = 6 K [11].
- U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In exhibits a large increase in magnetic susceptibility  $\chi(T)$  when cooled down to 1.6 K and is classified as a spin fluctuation system with a nonmagnetic ground state [5]. The single crystal susceptibility data reveal a weak maximum at 7.9 K which indicates the presence of a short-range correlation [18].

An enhancement in the electronic specific heat has furthermore been observed at low temperatures (200, 280 and 850 mJ/mol f.u. K<sup>2</sup> for U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In, U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In and U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In, respectively [6]). In addition, the specific heat curve of U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In develops a temperature dependence  $C_p/T \sim \ln T$ , appropriate to a non-Fermi liquid (NFL) state. Furthermore, the electrical resistivity data of this compound have supported the NFL behaviour [18]. Basically, the resistivity of U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In varies with temperatures above 10 K in similar manner as U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In does, i.e., typical of compounds showing spin fluctuation phenomenon, like UAl<sub>2</sub> and UPt<sub>3</sub>. For U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In, the  $\rho(T)$  data revealed a broad temperature region of negative slope, probably associated with the Kondo effect.

In the case of  $U_2Rh_2In$  and  $U_2Pt_2In$ , the absence of any clear anomaly in the temperature dependence of the specific heat in the temperature range 2–300 K indicates that they are paramagnetic. We are convinced that the difference in the properties of the studied compounds originates from a difference in the electronic structure, which is influenced by subtle 5f-electron–ligand hybridization. The U–U distances in all three reported compounds [3, 13] are slightly above the Hill limit (~3.5 Å) for uranium compounds [19], so the direct exchange between the uranium magnetic ions is no longer the dominating factor for the magnetic properties. Instead, an analysis of the data suggests that there exists a competition between the RKKY interaction and the Kondo effect, which provides these compounds to adopt a Doniach phase diagram [10].

In order to gain new information about the electronic structure of these compounds we have performed x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements and tight-binding linear muffin-tin orbital (TB-LMTO) calculations in the atomic spheres approximation (ASA) [20] and full-potential local-orbital full-relativistic with the spin–orbit coupling (FPLO) [21, 22] band-structure calculations. We hope that a comparison between theoretical predictions and experimental data allows to draw conclusions about the electronic structure, in particular the electronic state of uranium atoms.

Previously, electronic structure calculations within the frame of the LCAO method have been carried out for  $U_2T_2In$  (T = Co, Ni, Pd) [4, 5, 23],  $U_2T_2Sn$  (T = Co, Rh, Ir, Ni, Pd, Pt) [24] and  $U_2T_2Sn$  (T = Fe, Co, Ni) [25]. The result of these calculations was to indicate that the electronic structure and related properties of  $U_2T_2In$  compounds mainly originate from the interplay between the band filling of transition-metal d-states and hybridization between the d-states of the T atoms and f-states of the U atoms.

#### 2. Experimental details

## 2.1. Sample preparation and purity check

The samples studied in this study, with a total amount of about 1 g each, were prepared by arc-melting of the stoichiometric amounts of the constituent elements (purity 99.8% for U, 99.999% for Ni, Pt, Rh and 99.9999% for In) on a water-cooled copper hearth using a non-consumable throated tungsten electrode in a protective Ti-gettered high-purity argon atmosphere. After melting, the weight loss was negligible (less than 0.5% weight). For the purpose of homogenization, as-cast samples were wrapped in Ta foil, sealed in an evacuated silica tube and annealed at 650 °C for two weeks. The phase composition was checked by powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) employing Cu K $\alpha$  radiation. The chemical composition was determined with an energy-dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectrometer PV9800. The x-ray examination showed that the compounds are single phase and crystallize in the tetragonal U<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>-type structure for U<sub>2</sub>T<sub>2</sub>In (T = Ni, Rh) and Zr<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>-type for U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In. The calculated lattice parameters, a = 7.381(3) Å and c = 3.581(2) Å for U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In, a = 7.554(4) Å and c = 3.605(2) Å for U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In and a = 7.672(6) Å and c = 7.483(7) Å for U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In, do not differ from those published earlier [10-12], and the amount of impurity phases does not exceed 2% weight.

#### 2.2. Electronic structure measurements

The XPS spectra in a broad range of binding energy (0–1300 eV) were recorded at room temperature in a PHI 5700/660 Physical Electronics photoelectron spectrometer using a monochromatized Al K $\alpha$  x-ray source ( $h\nu = 1486.6 \text{ eV}$ ). All measurements were performed under ultra-high vacuum (UHV) conditions in the region of 10<sup>-10</sup> Torr. The energy spectra of the electrons were analysed by a hemispherical mirror analyser with an energy resolution of  $\sim 0.3 \text{ eV}$ . The Fermi level was referred to the gold Au  $4f_{7/2}$  binding energy at -84.0 eV. The spectrometer was calibrated using Au  $4f_{7/2}$  (-84.0 eV), Ag  $3d_{5/2}$  (-368.1 eV) and Cu  $2p_{3/2}$  (932.5 eV). The emission spectra were collected after mechanical cleaning of the sample in the preparation chamber under UHV conditions ( $1 \times 10^{-9}$  Torr) and then the sample was introduced into the spectrometer ( $5 \times 10^{-10}$  Torr). Each spectrum was measured immediately after breaking the sample in vacuum. Uranium compounds are chemically active and sample surfaces are degraded owing to oxidation [26, 27]. The oxidation of the sample was checked by observing the O 1s spectra before and after each measurement. The C 1s peak is also observed in the investigated spectra.

#### 3. Electronic structure calculation

The tight-binding linear muffin-tin orbital (TB-LMTO) method in the atomic spheres approximation (ASA) [20] and FPLO (full-potential local orbital-full relativistic with the spin orbit coupling) method [21, 22] were used to compute the electronic density of states (DOS) of  $U_2T_2$ In (T = Ni, Rh, Pt). In the LMTO method we applied the scalar-relativistic approximation for the band electron and a fully relativistic treatment for the frozen core electron. In the ASA the values of the atomic spheres radii were taken in such a way that the sum of all the atomic sphere volumes was equal to the volume of the unit cell. The compounds with T = Ni and Rh have the tetragonal structure ( $U_3Si_2$ -type with the space group P4/mbm) and the unit cell contains two formula units with N = 10 atoms each [1, 2]. The input for the computations is the tetragonal unit cell as provided in [1, 2], and experimental values of the lattice constants and position parameters were taken from these references. The calculations were performed for the paramagnetic and ferromagnetic phases. In the LMTO calculations the atomic configurations were assumed according to the periodic table of elements and for uranium atom we included the 6p electrons into the valence band. The exchange-correlation potential was assumed to be in the form of von Barth and Hedin [28] and nonlocal corrections were also used [29]. The selfconsistent spin-polarized band calculations were carried out for 405 k-points in the irreducible wedge of the Brillouin zone. For U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In we have also calculated the electronic density of states (DOS) for the Zr<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>-type structure (space group  $P4_2/mnm$  (Z = 4)) [13]. This structure corresponds to doubling of the unit cell in the c-direction. The lattice parameters and the positions of atoms were taken from [13]. The electronic densities of states were calculated for the paramagnetic and ferromagnetic phases.

In order to study the effect of spin–orbit coupling on the electronic density of states we used the full-potential local-orbit method (FPLO-5) [21, 22, 30] in the full relativistic version with spin–orbit coupling. The calculations were carried out for the  $U_2T_2In$  (T = Ni, Rh, Pt) system for a tetragonal structure with 10 atoms per unit cell for  $U_2T_2In$  (T = Ni, Rh) and 20 atoms per unit cell for  $U_2Pt_2In$ . For the calculations we assumed the following configurations of atoms: U core + semi core (6s6p) + 5f<sup>3</sup>6d<sup>1</sup>7s<sup>2</sup> (6 valence electrons), Ni: core + 3d<sup>8</sup>4s<sup>2</sup> (10 valence



Figure 2. XPS Al K $\alpha$  spectrum of the U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In compound (with the core level lines) in the wide binding energy range of 0–970 eV.

electrons), Rh: core  $+ 4d^85s^1$  (9 valence electrons), Pt: core  $+ 5d^96s^1$  (10 valence electrons), In: core  $+ 5s^25p^14d^{10}$  (13 valence electrons). In this way in the U<sub>2</sub>T<sub>2</sub>In (T = Ni, Rh, Pt) system we included in the calculations for 45, 43 and 45 valence electrons, respectively (table 2). The calculations were performed for the reciprocal space mesh containing 196 points within the irreducible wedge of the Brillouin zone. The exchange–correlation potential was assumed in the form proposed by Perdew and Wang [31]. The densities of states were calculated for the paramagnetic and ferromagnetic phases.

The theoretical photoemission spectra were obtained from the calculated density of states (DOS) convoluted by a Lorentzian with a half-width equal to 0.4 eV and scaled using the proper photoelectronic cross sections for partial states [32].

## 4. Results

As an example, the XPS spectrum for  $U_2Ni_2In$  in the energy range 0–970 eV is shown in figure 2. Besides the peaks corresponding to the U, Ni and In elements, small intensity peaks are found at -283.5 eV for C 1s and at -531.0 eV for O 1s. A similar situation is observed for the two other compounds.

The results of the quantitative analysis of the investigated spectra (in atomic%) are given in table 1. They indicate a small concentration of oxygen and a larger one of carbon. The carbon is probably connected with the low purity of uranium (99.8%). The peak corresponding to O 1s has two components with binding energies 530 and 532 eV. The first line probably corresponds to uranium oxides (UO<sub>2</sub>—530.4 eV or UO<sub>3</sub>—529.9 eV) and the second to the residue gas.

Table 1. Atomic concentration (in percentage) determined from the XPS spectra for  $U_2T_2In$  (T = Ni, R, Pt).

Compounds	U	In	Т	C 1s	O 1s
U <sub>2</sub> Ni <sub>2</sub> In	34.74	19.26	35.01	9.66	1.32
$U_2 Rh_2 In$	30.35	15.84	31.89	18.68	3.25
$U_2Pt_2In$	32.32	16.76	33.18	15.29	2.45

**Table 2.** The difference between the total energy of ferromagnetic and paramagnetic phase  $\Delta E = E(\text{ferro}) - E(\text{para})$  in U<sub>2</sub>T<sub>2</sub>In (T = Ni, Rh, Pt) (tetragonal) compounds calculated by LMTO and FPLO methods. The last result denotes the value for the doubled unit cell.

	$\Delta E$ (Ryd) (LMTO)	$\Delta E$ (Ryd) (FPLO)
U <sub>2</sub> Ni <sub>2</sub> In (tet)	-3.9063	
U <sub>2</sub> Rh <sub>2</sub> In (tet)	+4.9795	+0.0187
$U_2Pt_2In$ (tet)	-5.0969	+0.0040
$U_2Pt_2In$ (doubled)	-9.8199	

## 4.1. Valence band

The XPS valence bands of all three  $U_2T_2$ In (T = Ni, Rh, Pt) compounds are shown in figure 3. These experimental data can be directly compared with the calculated paramagnetic density of states (DOS) by TB-LMTO-ASA and FPLO methods (figures 4 and 5). In figure 4 we present the total and partial DOS for the particular atoms: U, T (Ni, Rh, Pt) and In, respectively. By inspecting figure 4 we can see that the occupied part of the DOS can be decomposed into two regions. The first region, near to the Fermi level, consists of the 5f, 6d and 7s states of the uranium atoms and the states of the Ni (3d+4s), Rh (4d+5s), Pt (5d+6s) and In (5s+5p) atoms. The second narrow band, with the maximum at -19.0 eV, corresponds to the U  $6p_{3/2}$ , In  $4d_{5/2}$  and In  $4d_{3/2}$  electrons. In the scalar-relativistic LMTO calculation we do not observe the splitting of the 5f uranium peak near the Fermi energy.

Figure 5 shows the calculated total density of states obtained from the full-relativistic FPLO method. The full-potential scalar-relativistic FPLO calculations (not visualized here) showed that the total density of states had a similar shape as the DOS obtained by the LMTO method. The main difference between the LMTO and full-relativistic FPLO calculations is visualized in the splitting of 5f states of uranium (near the Fermi level) and 6p states of U and 4d states of In. The two peaks corresponding to In  $4d_{5/2}$  and In  $4d_{3/2}$  are at -13.6 and -14.5 eV while the peak corresponding to U  $6p_{3/2}$  is at -17.1 eV. The positions of these peaks show large shifts in comparison to the tabulated data for pure elements (-16.6 and -17.4 eV for In  $4d_{5/2}$  and In  $4d_{3/2}$  and -16.9 eV for U  $6p_{3/2}$  [33]; however, they seem to be independent of the T element.

Both methods (scalar-relativistic LMTO and full-relativistic FPLO) give similar shapes of the densities of states.

- The unoccupied part of the DOS above the Fermi level  $E_{\rm F}$  consists of the 5f electrons from U with a signature of spin–orbit splitting (in FPLO). The splitting is not observed in scalar-relativistic LMTO.
- A broad peak with the maximum at -2.6 eV for U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In would correspond to Ni 3d, at -3.2 eV for U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In to Rh 4d and at -4.0 eV for U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In to Pt 5d states.
- The 4s states for the Ni, 5s states for Rh and 6s for Pt, and 7s-electrons of the uranium atoms form a broad maximum below and above the Fermi level.
- 6d states of uranium form a broad peak with the maximum at -4.4 eV.



**Figure 3.** Comparison of the measured (square points) and calculated (light lines for individual components and thick line for total) XPS spectra of valence bands for paramagnetic  $U_2T_2In$  (T = Ni, Rh, Pt) compounds. The total density of states convolved with Lorentzians of FWHM 0.4 eV taking into account proper photoelectronic cross sections from [32] for bands with different symmetry give the calculated XPS spectra. The Fermi level  $E_F$  level located at E = 0 eV is marked by the vertical solid line.

- In 5s states form a narrow band at -5.6 eV for U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In, -5.8 eV for U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In and -6.3 eV for U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In, respectively,
- In 5p states form a broad band below and above the Fermi level.

We have also calculated the electronic density of states for the spin-polarized systems. For U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In and U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In the total energies of the system obtained in LMTO and FPLO for the ferromagnetic phase were higher then for the paramagnetic systems. We calculated the difference  $\Delta E = E(\text{ferro}) - E(\text{para})$  for all the systems, and the results are listed in table 2. The spin-polarized LMTO calculations gave the following values of the magnetic moment of uranium: 1.9, 2.1 and 2.41  $\mu_B$  for T = Ni, Rh, Pt; however, from FPLO we have obtained for uranium the spin (orbital) magnetic moments as follows: 0.37 (-0.79)  $\mu_B$  for T = Rh and 1.1(-1.69)  $\mu_B$  for T = Pt.

The spin-polarized LMTO calculation for the tetragonal structures indicates that the ferromagnetic phase in  $U_2Rh_2In$  is more stable than the paramagnetic phase; however, FPLO calculation has shown that the difference between the paramagnetic and ferromagnetic phase in  $U_2Rh_2In$  and  $U_2Pt_2In$  is small.

In the case of the  $U_2Pt_2In$  system we performed the LMTO band calculation for the structure with the space group  $P4_2/mnm$  (doubled unit cell (20 atoms)) with the atom positions



**Figure 4.** The total density of states and the contribution from U, T elements (Ni, Rh, Pt) and In to the total density of states of paramagnetic  $U_2T_2$ In (T = Ni, Rh, Pt) compounds calculated by the TB-LMTO method. The Fermi level is located at E = 0 eV.

according to [13]. The total energy analysis shows that the system is paramagnetic at 0 K. The shape of the total density of states is similar to that obtained for the  $U_2T_2In$  (T = Ni, Rh) series of compounds (figure 4).

All experimental spectra (see figure 3) are characterized by two peaks near  $E_F$ . The first one lies below the Fermi level at -0.35 eV for  $U_2\text{Ni}_2\text{In}$  and -0.15 eV for  $U_2\text{Rh}_2\text{In}$  and  $U_2\text{Pt}_2\text{In}$ . These rather sharp peaks would correspond to the U 5f states. The second one, located below  $E_F$ , is somewhat broad, at -2.0 eV corresponding to the Ni 3d states in  $U_2\text{Ni}_2\text{In}$ , -2.7 eV for Rh 4d states in  $U_2\text{Rh}_2\text{In}$  and -4.0 eV for Pt 5d states in  $U_2\text{Pt}_2\text{In}$ . The widths of these peaks are found to amount to 2.1 eV in  $U_2\text{Ni}_2\text{In}$ , 2.6 eV in  $U_2\text{Rh}_2\text{In}$  and 3.3 eV in  $U_2\text{Pt}_2\text{In}$ .

The experimental data are compared with the calculated XPS spectra. The calculated shapes of the peaks reproduce the experimental ones. The data corresponding to the positions of the U 5f and Pt 5d states agree, while those for Ni 3d and Rh 4d are shifted by 0.7 eV towards the low-energy side.

The In 5s and In 5p states form a broad maximum with small intensities.

#### 4.2. Core levels

4.2.1. Uranium core levels. The XPS spectra of U  $4f_{7/2}$  and U  $4f_{5/2}$  core electronic states are shown in figure 6. The U 4f spectrum is analysed based on two asymmetric lines resulting from  $4f_{7/2}$  and  $4f_{5/2}$  with strong intensities and two weak asymmetric satellites with binding energies about 1 eV and about 7 eV higher than the main line. In the analysis of these spectra it is necessary to take into account the influence of the uranium oxides, which causes an increase in the intensities in the region of the binding energy at -380.0 and -390.5 eV.

The complex structure of the uranium 4f states, besides screening effects, is caused by multiplet splitting due to exchange interactions between the 4f hole and the 5f electrons (j–j coupling) after the photoemission process. Such a multiplet splitting for rare earth 4d and 5p exists in the form of a widespread structure [34–36]. Each line of U 4f, which due to spin–orbit coupling splits into U 4f<sub>7/2</sub> and U 4f<sub>5/2</sub>, may be additionally split due to the coupling of the hole 4f states (l = 3;  $s = \pm 1/2$ ; j = 7/2, 5/2) with the 5f states. The



**Figure 5.** The total density states of paramagnetic  $U_2T_2$ In (T = Ni, Rh, Pt) compounds calculated by the FPLO method. The vertical broken line shows the position of the Fermi energy  $E_F$ .

structure of the 4f lines, consisting of the main line and two satellites, can be interpreted as a result of different contributions of the  $5f^2$ ,  $5f^3$  and  $5f^4$  final states in the photoemission processes. This interpretation is consistent with the so-called dualism of the 5f electrons that has recently been considered much in intermetallic uranium compounds [37–39]. The physical origin of the asymmetry of the main lines of the XPS spectra was described by the screening of the 4f core–hole potential by the 5f electrons on the neighboring U atoms [40, 41]. The complex spectra can be decomposed according to the Doniach–Sunjić theory [42], after subtracting the background using the Tougaard method [43]. For U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In the main line is split due to spin–orbit coupling into two lines at the binding energies -377.1 eV (U 4f<sub>7/2</sub>) and -387.9 eV (U 4f<sub>5/2</sub>). These values are in good agreement with the reference values -377.2and -388.2 eV, respectively [33] as observed in other uranium compounds [44–48]. Each of these lines consists of dominant asymmetric lines with a singularity index  $\alpha$  of about 0.41 and two symmetric satellites at positions -378.5 and -384.7 eV. A similar spectrum is observed for U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In and U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In. The parameters characterizing the XPS spectra of U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In, U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In and U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In are collected in table 3.



**Figure 6.** The measured XPS U 4f core-level spectra of  $U_2T_2In$  (T = Ni, Rh, Pt) and its decomposition into main lines and satellites. The backgrounds are subtracted. Solid circles represent the experimental data. The lines represent the calculated component curves obtained by least square fitting. The interpretation of the individual peaks is given in the text.

**Table 3.** Peak positions of the main line, spin–orbit splitting the singularity indices  $\alpha$ , FWHMs, peak intensities, and the relative intensities of the satellite lines.

Compounds	Peak position (eV)	$\Delta_{LS}$ (eV)	α	Satellite peak position (eV)	FWHM (eV)	Int. <sup>a</sup> (%)
U <sub>2</sub> Ni <sub>2</sub> In	-377.1(1)	10.9	0.41	-384.7(1)	3.54(14)	3.33
$U_2 Rh_2 In$	-377.0(1)	10.8	0.45	-384.3(1)	4.40(21)	3.04
$U_2Pt_2In$	-377.1(1)	10.9	0.34	-384.9(1)	3.40(15)	2.51

<sup>a</sup> The column labelled 'Int.' presents the ratio of the integrated intensities of the satellites to those of the main peaks.

The positions of the peaks corresponding to the U  $4d_{5/2}$  and U  $4d_{3/2}$  states are -738.7 and -780.5 eV for  $U_2T_2In$  (T = Ni, Rh) and -737.6 and -780.0 eV for  $U_2Pt_2In$ . All these values are larger than those of pure uranium, i.e., -736.2 and -778.3 eV, respectively [33]. Thus, the shift of the peaks is positive and amounts to +2.5(2) eV for  $U_2Ni_2In$  and  $U_2Rh_2In$  and +1.1(2) eV for  $U_2Pt_2In$ .



Figure 7. XPS spectra of Ni  $2p_{3/2}$  and Ni  $2p_{1/2}$  in  $U_2Ni_2In$ , Rh  $3d_{3/2}$  and Rh  $3d_{1/2}$  in  $U_2Rh_2In$  and Pt4f<sub>7/2</sub> and Pt4f<sub>5/2</sub> in  $U_2Pt_2In$ .

4.2.2. *nd core level* (n = 3 (*Ni*), 4 (*Rh*) and 5 (*Pt*)). The Ni 2p XPS states have a complex structure (figure 7). The Ni  $3p_{3/2}$  and Ni  $3p_{1/2}$  bands are located at positions -853.2 and -870.3 eV, respectively. These values are practically the same as the reference value for pure Ni, i.e. -853.0 and -870.5 eV, respectively.

However, the Ni  $2p_{3/2}$  peak, located at -853.2 eV below the Fermi level, has two additional satellites situated at -853.8 and -860.7 eV, respectively. These satellites have been observed in Ni metal, TbNi<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>NiAl [49] and SmNi<sub>2</sub>B [50]. Because the intensity of the peak in U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In is smaller than that for the pure Ni metal, one expects the Ni moment to vanish. On the other hand, the existence of these satellites may suggest that the Ni 3d band is not completely filled [50, 51]. This is in agreement with the neutron diffraction data for a U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In single crystal which give no evidence for any moment in Ni atoms [13].

The Rh  $3d_{5/2}$  and Rh  $3d_{3/2}$  lines at  $U_2Rh_2In$  are observed at positions -307.2 and -311.8 eV, respectively. These values are identical to those of pure Rh [33].

The Pt  $4f_{7/2}$  and Pt  $4f_{5/2}$  peaks are located at -71.9 and -74.1 eV, respectively. These values are slightly different from the reference data for pure Pt (-71.2 and -75.1 eV) [32]. The shift for Pt  $4f_{7/2}$  states is found to be about +0.7(2) eV.

4.2.3. In core levels. The In  $3d_{3/2}$  and In  $3d_{5/2}$  peaks for all three compounds are located at -443.35 and -450.8 eV below the Fermi level, respectively. Each peak can be fitted by a single, slightly asymmetric profile. These values are smaller than those for pure In. The shift is found to be -0.45(2) eV. In U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In the In  $4d_{5/2}$  and In  $4d_{3/2}$  states are at the positions -16.4 and -17.2 eV, which are different than those for the pure In, i.e. -16.6 and -17.4 eV. The shift is again found to be negative, about -0.2(2) eV. In this compound the In  $3p_{3/2}$  and In  $3p_{1/2}$  states are located at -664.3 and -703.4 eV, respectively, while for pure In the corresponding bands have energies of -665.2 and -703.1 eV, respectively [33].

## 5. Discussion

In this work we present the XPS spectra collected for the  $U_2T_2In$  (T = Ni, Rh, Pt) compounds at room temperature and the corresponding density of state calculated in the framework of the scalar-relativistic LMTO and full-relativistic FPLO approach.

The experimental spectra of the valence bands and calculated values of the DOS give similar results (see figure 3). Near the Fermi level the sharp peak corresponding to the U 5f states and the broad maxima connected with the *n* d bands of the T (Ni, Rh, Pt) elements are observed. A similar valence band is observed for the isostructural U<sub>2</sub>Ru<sub>2</sub>Sn compounds [47]. In this case the lowest-energy narrow peak results from the U 5f electrons, while at higher binding energies the contributions from the Ru 4d electrons dominate. The calculated DOS indicates that the Fermi energy cuts the peak corresponding to be U 5f states (see figure 3). The peaks of U 5f states in U<sub>2</sub>T<sub>2</sub>In (T = Rh, Pt) are placed below  $E_F$  at -0.15 eV whereas for U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In they are at -0.35 eV. The small difference between the position of the U 5f peaks in U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In and the U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In and U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In is probably responsible for the difference in the magnetic properties of these compounds (see section 1).

The results of the calculations indicate that the density of states at the Fermi level is formed mainly by the U 5f but also by the U 5s, T *ns* and In 5s states. The calculated numbers of states and density of states at the Fermi level obtained by the FPLO method are given in table 4. The Fermi level is located in the U 5f peak; therefore the total DOS ( $E_F$ ) is dominated by 5f electrons from U atoms. Any small change of the Fermi level causes a large change in the density of states. The calculated values of the density of states at the Fermi level are equal to 53.82 states eV<sup>-1</sup> for U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In, 31.37 states eV<sup>-1</sup> for U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In and 63.63 states eV<sup>-1</sup> for U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In, respectively. The corresponding values of the  $\gamma$  coefficient of the electronic specific heat are equal to 161.85 mJ/mol K<sup>2</sup> for T = Ni, 94.35 mJ/mol K<sup>2</sup> for T = Rh and 191.38 mJ/mol K<sup>2</sup> for T = Pt, respectively. The experimental values of the  $\gamma$  coefficient of the electronic specific heat are equal 206 mJ/mol K<sup>2</sup> for U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In, 280 mJ/mol K<sup>2</sup> for U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In and 850 mJ/mol K<sup>2</sup> for U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In.

The ratio of the experimental  $\gamma_{ex}$  and calculated  $\gamma_{cal}$  values of the electronic coefficient of the specific heat are equal to 1.28 for U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In, 2.96 for U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In and 4.44 for U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In. The experimental data are strongly enhanced by electron interactions typical for spin fluctuation or heavy fermion systems. In Kondo systems formation of a virtual-bound state causes a significant increase in the density of state and an enhancement of the  $\gamma$  coefficient. Data for the isostructural Kondo system U<sub>2</sub>Ru<sub>2</sub>Sn gives the value of this ratio equal to 1.4 [47].

**Table 4.** Numbers of states for  $U_2T_2$ In (T = Ni, Rh, Pt) compounds per formula unit 2:2:1 and value of the density of states on the Fermi level in the paramagnetic compounds determined from the calculations by the FPLO method.

	Valence electrons				
Atom	s	р	d	f	Total
U <sub>2</sub> Ni <sub>2</sub> In					
2U	1.098	0.330	4.566	5.562	11.556
2Ni	1.502	1.358	17.534	0.000	20.394
In	1.322	1.720	9.96	0.000	13.002
Total (per f.u.)	3.922	3.408	32.060	2.781	44.952
$N(E_{\rm F}) = 53.8$	32 states eV <sup>-</sup>	1			
U <sub>2</sub> Rh <sub>2</sub> In					
2U	0.752	0.322	4.66	5.656	11.388
2Rh	1.286	1.470	15.954	0.000	18.716
In	1.247	1.592	9.963	0.000	12.802
Total (per f.u.)	3.285	3.390	30.577	5.656	42.906
$N(E_{\rm F}) = 31.3$	37 states eV-	1			
U <sub>2</sub> Pt <sub>2</sub> In					
2U	0.926	0.464	4.294	5.642	11.326
2Pt	1.922	1.694	17.08	0.000	20.696
In	1.316	1.654	9.973	0.000	12.943
Total (per f.u.)	4.164	3.813	31.347	5.642	44.965
$N(E_{\rm F}) = 63.6$	53 states eV <sup>-</sup>	1			

In the next step, the hybridization between the U 5f uranium atoms and *n* d electrons of the T element are analysed. The U 5f states form the peaks at the Fermi level and above. Below the Fermi level (in the region 0 to -5 eV for U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In and U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In and 0 to -6.5 eV for U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In) a broad peak with a small intensity, connected with the U 6d states, is observed. The *n*d states are in the -1.5 to -4.2 eV for Ni 3d states in U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In, -1.1 to -5 eV Rh 4d states and -2.5 to -6.5 eV for Pt 5d states in U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In. A shift of the position of the peak corresponding to the *n*d states from the Fermi level is observed in the calculated DOS for the U<sub>2</sub>T<sub>2</sub>In series of compounds (see figure 3 in [5]). This tendency is not correlated with the magnetic properties of these compounds. U<sub>2</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>In is a weak paramagnet, U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In a antiferromagnet, U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In a spin fluctuator, U<sub>2</sub>Pd<sub>2</sub>In an antiferromagnet and U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In a spin fluctuator [3–6]. The change in DOS is connected with the increase in the electronic coefficient of the specific heat which increases from 32 mJ/mol K<sup>2</sup> for U<sub>2</sub>Co<sub>2</sub>In to 850 mJ/mol K<sup>2</sup> for U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In [6].

The above data suggest hybridization between the nd electrons of the T element and U 6d electrons of the uranium atoms. Probably appreciable charge transfer occurs between d- and f-states of U atoms and d-states of the transition metal, leading to nearly filled d-states of the T element. This mechanism leads to non-integer 5f occupancy and automatically to a reduction of the uranium magnetic moment.

The analysis of U 4f<sub>J</sub> (J = 7/2 and 5/2) lines and the satellite associated with these lines indicates that the main line is shifted asymmetrically and the intensity of a broad  $\sim$ 7 eV satellite is larger for more localized 5f electron systems, i.e. for the case with weak f–ligand hybridization. For the compounds exhibiting Pauli paramagnetism the satellites have

lower intensities and the satellite width should be wider than that for magnetically ordered samples [40].

The results for the investigated compounds (see table 4) indicate that the singularity indices  $\alpha$  for U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In and U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In are smaller than those for U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In. This result also seems to correlate with the magnetic properties of these compounds. The first two compounds show a more localized character of the 5f electrons. This feature reflects the intensities of the satellite peaks which are relatively low in U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In. The origin of the first satellite, which is located about 3.1 eV higher than the main line, is not clear. It seems that the occurrence of this satellite is characteristic for some U-based ternary intermetallics. According to Fujimori *et al* [52], the presence of the symmetric peak at 7.0 eV (the 5f<sup>2</sup> final state) below the main line gives evidence that the uranium atoms in these compounds are partially localized and partial itinerant.

On the basis of the calculations of the density of states one expects that there is the hybridization (see figure 4) between U 6d and T nd electrons. The experimental data obtained for a large number of  $U_2T_2X$  (X = Sn, In) compounds point out that such hybridization of the 5f states of the uranium atoms and the d-states of the transition metal, for example T = Ni, Rh, Pt atoms, is possible. In order to verify this the interatomic distances between U–U, U–T and U– In atoms are analysed based on the formalism proposed by the Harrison–Stroub model [53–55]. The calculated covalent energy indicates that the magnetism of the  $U_2T_2In$  (T = Ni, Rh) compounds is governed by the f-d hybridization because the hybridization matrix element  $V_{\rm fd}$ is larger and the values of  $V_{\rm ff}$  and  $V_{\rm fp}$  are considerably smaller. According to references [3, 10], the hybridization of the 5f electrons of uranium with the 4d electrons of rhodium in U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In and the 5d electrons of platinum in  $U_2Pt_2In$  is much stronger than those between the 5f states of uranium and the 3d states of nickel in U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In. Comparing the calculated hybridization energy with the Doniach model [56], one obtains that  $U_2Pt_2In$  compounds are located near the magnetic-nonmagnetic border in the Doniach diagram (see figure 3 in [10]) while U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In is in the magnetic and  $U_2Rh_2In$  in nonmagnetic region [10]. A similar conclusion was drawn by Diviš et al [23, 24], who have also performed band structure calculations. The calculated value of the charge transfer in the isostructural U2Ni2Sn compound suggests that the U-Ni interaction should be weaker [24]. This compound is an antiferromagnet like  $U_2Ni_2In$ , with Néel temperature equal to 25 K [6].

The Ni  $(2p_{1/2})$  and Ni  $(2p_{3/2})$  satellite peaks observed for U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In suggest that the Ni 3d band is not completely filled. However, the question arises, whether the Ni atoms do carry a small magnetic moment. Neutron powder diffraction experiments [8, 9] do not give a clear answer, since a magnetic moment of ~0.4  $\mu_B$  at the Ni site was reported [8]. Nevertheless, this result has not been confirmed by neutron diffraction data [13] carried out on single crystals, which indicate the absence of the magnetic moments on the uranium atoms [13].

The analysis of the core levels of various elements gives information about the chemical shift which originates from changes of the potential related to the formation of compounds. As a result, the binding energies of electronic levels are different in compounds and in pure elements. The results presented in this work indicate that the lines corresponding to the uranium core levels do not change. For the T element, a positive shift is observed for T = Ni and Pt, while for T = Rh the core levels do not change. The In lines have negative shift. The negative shift may be related to some charge transfer towards these atoms.

## 6. Conclusions

The XPS study at room temperature combined with calculations of the density of states for  $U_2T_2In$  (T = Ni, Rh, Pt) reveal information on the electronic structure of these compounds. The agreement between the measured XPS spectra and the calculated ones is reasonably good.

The calculations of the density of states using the TB-LMTO and FPLO methods can be summarized by four distinct features.

- The density of states of width of an order of 1 eV derived from the U 5f-electrons lies essentially above the Fermi level at −0.35 eV for U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In and −0.15 eV for U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In and U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In,
- (2) Below the U 5f peaks, broad peaks at -2.0 eV for Ni 3d in U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In, at -2.7 eV for Rh 4d in U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In and at -4.0 eV for Pt 5d in U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In are observed. The widths of these peaks are found to amount to 2.1 eV in U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In, 2.6 eV for U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In and 3.3 eV in U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In.
- (3) The contribution of the 5s- and 5p-states of the indium atoms to the density of states is minor.
- (4) The general features of the density of states of  $U_2T_2In$  (T = Ni, Rh, Pd) calculated by the LMTO method in this work agree reasonably with previous investigations [23–25]. Data from the full-relativistic spin–orbit FPLO method give the realistic spin–orbit splitting of the U 5f-states. Our calculated and experimental data for  $U_2Pt_2In$  do not give the wide break between Pt 5d states and U 5f states suggested from the calculations using the HLCO method [6].

The main XPS experimental results can be outlined as follows.

- (1) The valence band is formed from an overlap of the U(5f) and Ni(3d), Rh(4d) and Pt(5d) bands. The narrow band of the 5f-electrons lies essentially below the Fermi level, whereas the T *n*d electrons form a broad band. Very small differences in the position of the U 5f peak are observed. The peaks corresponding to the *n*d states of the transition elements widen and shift the peaks to lower energies in the series T = Ni, Rh and Pt, which is in good agreement with the calculated data.
- (2) Analysis of the U 4f core-level spectrum based on its decomposition into main lines and satellites and taking into account the relations of the satellite intensity and singularity index to the width of the satellite leads to the conclusion that the ground state of U<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>In is magnetic, but that of U<sub>2</sub>Rh<sub>2</sub>In is nonmagnetic. The situation for U<sub>2</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>In is not clear due to its complex crystal structure.

#### Acknowledgments

The work of AJ was partially supported by the Centre of Excellence for Magnetic and Molecular Materials for the Future Electronics within the European Commission Contract No G5MA-CT-04049.

## References

- [1] Peron M N et al 1993 J. Alloys Compounds 201 203
- [2] Mirambet F, Gravereau P, Chevalier B, Trut L and Etourneau J 1993 J. Alloys Compounds 191 L1
- [3] Nakotte H 1994 PhD Thesis Universited of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, p 101 and references therein
- [4] Prokeš K 1997 Thesis Universite of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, p 163 and references therein
- [5] Havela L et al 1994 J. Appl. Phys. 76 6214
- [6] Havela L et al 1995 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 140–144 1367
- [7] Bourée F, Chevalier B, Fournés L, Mirambet F, Roisnel T, Tran V H and Żołnierek Z 1994 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 138 307
- [8] Nakotte H et al 1996 Phys. Rev. B 53 3263
- [9] Tran V H, Żołnierek Z, Bourée F and Roisnel T 1996 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 161 270
- [10] Tran V H, Żołnierek Z, Zaleski A J and Noël H 1997 Solid State Commun. 101 709
- [11] du Plessis P de V, Strydom A M and Tran V H 1999 Solid State Commun. 112 391

- [12] Tran V H, Hoser A and Hofmann M 2000 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 12 1029
- [13] Martin-Martin A, Pereira L C J, Lander G H, Rebizant J, Wastin F, Spirlet J C, Dervengas P and Brown P 2000 Phys. Rev. B 59 11818
- [14] Richter M, Zahn P, Diviš M and Merting I 1996 Phys. Rev. B 54 11985
- [15] Prokeš K, Nakotte H, Bartashevich M I, Doerr M and Sechovsky V 2003 Phys. Rev. B 68 014405
- [16] Kim J S, Alwood J, Getty S A, Sharifi F and Stewart G R 2000 Phys. Rev. B 62 6986
- [17] Tran V H, Paschen S, Rabis A, Baenitz M, Steglich F, du Plessis P de V and Strydom A M 2003 Phys. Rev. B 67 075111
- [18] Estrela P, Pereira L C J, de Visser A, de Boer F R, Almeida M, Godinho M, Rebizant J and Spirlet J C 1998 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 10 9465
- [19] Hill H H 1970 Photonium 1970 and Other Actinides ed W N Miner (New York: Metallurgical Society of the AIME) p 2
- [20] Andersen O K and Jepsen O 1984 Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 2572
- [21] Koepernik K, Velicky B, Hayn R and Eschrig H 1997 Phys. Rev. B 55 5717
- [22] Koepernik K and Eschrig H 1999 Phys. Rev. B 59 1743
- [23] Diviš M, Richter M and Eschrig H 1994 Solid State Commun. 90 99
- [24] Diviš M, Olšovec M, Richter M and Eschrig H 1995 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 140-144 1365
- [25] Matar F S 1995 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 151 263
- [26] Suzuki S et al 1993 Japan. J. Appl. Phys. 8 59
- [27] Ejima T et al 1994 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 63 2428
- [28] von Barth U and Hedin L 1972 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 5 391
- [29] Hu D and Langreth D C 1985 Phys. Scr. 32 391
- [30] http://www.FPLO.de
- [31] Perdew J P and Wang Y 1992 Phys. Rev. B 45 13244
- [32] Yeh J J and Lindau I 1985 At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 32 1
- [33] Hufner S 1994 Photoelectron Spectroscopy (Berlin: Springer) p 453
- [34] Ogasawara H, Kotani A and Thole B T 1994 *Phys. Rev.* B **50** 123
- [35] Thole B T, Wang X D, Harmon B N, Li D and Dowben P A 1993 Phys. Rev. B 47 90
- [36] Talik E, Neumann M and Mydlarz T 1998 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 189 183
- [37] Sato N K, Aso N, Miyake K, Shiina R, Thalmeier P, Varelogiannis G, Geibel C, Steglich F, Fulde P and Komatsubara T 2001 Nature 410 340
- [38] Zwicknagl G, Yaresko A and Fulde P 2002 Phys. Rev. B 65 081103(R)
- [39] Zwicknagl G, Yaresko A and Fulde P 2003 Phys. Rev. B 68 052508
- [40] van Veenendaal M A, Eskes H and Sawatzky G A 1993 Phys. Rev. B 47 11462
- [41] Bocquet A E, Mizohawa T, Fujimori A, Matoba M and Anzani S 1995 Phys. Rev. B 52 13838
- [42] Doniach S and Sunjić M 1970 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 3 285
- [43] Tougaard S 1990 J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 52 243
- [44] Talik E, Lucas M E, Suski W and Troć R 2003 J. Alloys Compounds 350 72
- [45] Ejima T, Sato S, Suzuki S, Saito Y, Fujimori S, Sato N, Komatsubara M and Kasuya T 1996 Phys. Rev. B 53 1806
- [46] Chełkowska G, Morkowski J A, Szajek A and Troć R 1992 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14 3199
- [47] Chełkowska G, Morkowski J A, Szajek A, Stępień-Damm J and Troć R 2003 Eur. Phys. J. B 35 349
- [48] Ejima T, Sato S, Saito V, Fujimori S, Sato N, Komatsubara T, Kasuya T, Oniaki Y and Ishii T 1996 Phys. Rev. B 53 1806
- [49] Guzik A, Talik E and Kuznietz M 2004 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 272-276 e589
- [50] Hong N M, Thuy N P, Schandy G, Holubar T, Hilscher G and Franse J J M 1993 J. Appl. Phys. 73 5698
- [51] Toliński T, Chełkowska G and Kowalczyk A 2002 Solid State Commun. 122 145
- [52] Fujimori S, Saito Y, Sato N, Komatsubara T, Suzuki S, Sato S and Ishii T 1998 Solid State Commun. 105 185
- [53] Harrison W A 1983 Phys. Rev. B 28 550
- [54] Straub G K and Harrison W A 1985 Phys. Rev. B 31 7668
- [55] Harrison W A and Straub G K 1987 Phys. Rev. B 36 2695
- [56] Doniach S 1977 Physica B 91 231